



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

OPEN LETTERS.

A plea for better botanical specimens.

An experience of five years in two of the large herbaria of this country, in which I have handled all the specimens as they came in from collectors all over the world, has confirmed my belief that too many botanists place a greater stress on quantity than quality. The distribution of C. G. Pringle's admirable specimens during the past few years, has produced among the fortunate few of his subscribers a change of opinion for the better; and the curators of herbaria, now crowded to overflowing with inferior specimens, are throwing more and more of the worthless material into the waste-basket. If exchanging botanists would give and demand none but good, complete specimens, the standard might be raised so that each addition to our numerous herbaria would be one of permanent value, not to occupy needed space until replaced by better specimens, some time in the far future.

A common fault is the breaking up of a single individual to make it go all around. Better one perfect and complete specimen in one herbarium, than many fragments in different places. Another common fault is the sending, as a complete specimen, a single individual of the smaller species. It betrays a small soul to ask that a single *Erigenia bulbosa* or *Viola blanda* shall count one in exchange. A fault in the opposite direction is the preparing of specimens too large for the herbarium sheet. I once mounted 200 species of grasses prepared by a professional collector, and every specimen that was more than sixteen inches tall, had to be moistened and pressed over.

Many extensive collectors even yet work as if picking flowers for a bouquet, in which the stem and radical leaves are not needed. The fruiting stage seems to pass unheeded by most collectors, unless they eat the fruit instead of sending it in, as there is a great dearth of fruit among the specimens and a corresponding demand for it by some of our systematic botanists.—L. H. DEWEY, *Washington, D. C.*

Pachystima Canbyi in cultivation.

I notice in the March number of the GAZETTE that mention is made of the cultivation of *Pachystima Canbyi* in Germany. I have grown it in my garden here since 1875. I gathered it in S. W. Virginia in company with Mr. Howard Shriver in that year, and as it grew comparatively well under cultivation I have kept it in my grounds since. It is called locally "rat-stripper," from the readiness with which the bark strips off the wood, leaving a long white tail, as it were. I have sent many plants of it to various parts of Europe. The closely allied species, *P. Myrsinites*, from Oregon has not as yet proved hardy with me.—GEO. C. WOOLSON, *Passaic, N. J.*